Stenographic Transcript Before the

Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REVIEW OF VETTING POLICIES FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY STUDENTS FOLLOWING THE ATTACK ON NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA

Wednesday, March 4, 2020

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1111 14TH STREET NW SUITE 1050 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON
2	THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REVIEW OF
3	VETTING POLICIES FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY STUDENTS
4	FOLLOWING THE ATTACK ON NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA
5	
б	Wednesday, March 4, 2020
7	
8	U.S. Senate
9	Subcommittee on Emerging
10	Threats and Capabilities
11	Committee on Armed Services
12	Washington, D.C.
13	
14	The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:04 a.m.
15	in Room SR-232A, Russell Senate Office Building, Hon. Joni
16	Ernst, chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.
17	Subcommittee Members Present: Senators Ernst, Scott,
18	Hawley, and Peters.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

1

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JONI ERNST, U.S. SENATOR

2 FROM IOWA

3 Senator Ernst: Good morning, everyone. We will call 4 this Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities to 5 order.

б And the Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and 7 Capabilities meets today to receive testimony on the 8 Department of Defense review of the December 6th, 2019 9 insider attack on Naval Air Station Pensacola in which three 10 U.S. service members tragically lost their lives and eight more suffered injuries. It is critical that we learn from 11 12 the attack, understand the threat, and take the necessary 13 steps to ensure the protection of our service men and women 14 qoing forward.

I would like to welcome our witnesses: Mr. Garry Reid, who serves as the Director for Defense Intelligence in the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence; and Lieutenant General Charles Hooper, who serves as the Director of the Defense Security Cooperation Agency. And thank you both very much for being with us today, and we look forward to your testimonies.

The National Defense Strategy focuses on strengthening alliances and attracting new partners as a key component to more effectively compete with China and Russia while countering the continued threat posed by radical terrorist

groups and rogue regimes. The NDS states that by working together with allies and partners, we amass the greatest possible strength for the long-term advancement of our interests, maintaining favorable balances of power that deter aggression and support the stability that generates growth. I agree with the NDS.

7 That is why I have long supported critical security 8 cooperation programs such as the International Military 9 Training and Education, or IMET. These programs provide our partners from around the world an opportunity to train and 10 11 learn from the best here in the United States. Ultimately, 12 our partners return to their home countries with a greater 13 appreciation of the U.S. and impart lessons learned on how 14 to better organize and employ their own armed forces. These 15 programs improve our interoperability with key partners and 16 lay the foundation for enduring cooperation that will pay 17 dividends for years to come.

18 Over the past 20 years, more than 1 million 19 international military students have trained in the United 20 States. Currently the United States hosts over 5,000 21 students from 153 countries. Many of the students who come 22 to the U.S. are the same troops who have fought or will 23 fight alongside Americans down range. Oftentimes they rise 24 through the ranks and become leaders in their own armed 25 forces, with many becoming chiefs of defense, ministers, or

www.AldersonReporting.com

3

1 even presidents.

2 However, while the benefits of these programs are invaluable, the tragic events at Pensacola highlight 3 4 unacceptable shortfalls in our security standards and 5 vetting procedures. The attacker, Saeed Alshamrani, arrived 6 in the United States in 2017 and harbored anti-U.S. 7 sentiments which he broadcasted on social media, all the 8 while he was able to purchase a firearm, access U.S. 9 military installations, and ultimately carry out a deadly attack against Americans. We must do more to protect our 10 military personnel and ensure the security of our 11 12 facilities.

Mr. Reid and General Hooper, we look forward to your testimonies explaining the results of the Department of Defense review and describing what corrective steps are being undertaken. Your findings are critical to our efforts to ensure the Department has the resources, the support, and authorities it needs. And thank you again for joining us. I look forward to the discussion.

Before I hand it over to Senator Peters for his opening remarks, I would like to remind everyone that later we will close the hearing in order to discuss sensitive matters of national security. At that point, we will ask for the public and members of the press to exit the room. We appreciate your cooperation and understanding. The intent

www.AldersonReporting.com

1	is for us to break at 10:30, and we will then at that time								
2	clear the room. Senator Peters and I will go vote. We do								
3	have a vote called for 10:30, and then we will reassemble								
4	those that have the authority to stay in the room. We will								
5	reassemble. So thank you very much.								
6	Ranking Member Peters?								
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

STATEMENT OF HON. GARY C. PETERS, U.S. SENATOR FROM
 MICHIGAN

3 Senator Peters: Let me begin by thanking Senator Ernst 4 for holding this hearing on changes made by the Department 5 of Defense in response to the December 6th, 2019 attack at 6 Naval Station Pensacola that unfortunately and tragically 7 resulted in the death of three U.S. service members and 8 wounding of eight other Americans. Our thoughts remain with 9 the victims and their families.

We have a responsibility to the victims to learn all that we can from the attack and to implement changes that will mitigate the risk of future occurrences to the greatest extent possible.

14 Following the attack, the Department reacted quickly to 15 put in place additional safety measures.

16 The provision of training to foreign military personnel 17 is a comparative advantage of the United States over our 18 near-peer competitors like China and Russia. Such training 19 not only helps to improve interoperability with foreign 20 partners, but also to establish connections with junior 21 officers that then go on to hold significant leadership 22 positions in their home countries in the future.

Indeed, the International Military Education and
 Training, or IMET, program is regularly cited by our
 military and diplomatic leadership as the most effective and

www.AldersonReporting.com

1	resource-efficient tool that we have to build strong							
2	military-to-military relationships with foreign partners.							
3	Despite these clear benefits, we must ensure that such							
4	training does not risk the safety of U.S. military							
5	personnel, other foreign students, or the installations in							
б	which the training is occurring.							
7	On January 17th, the Department announced new safety							
8	measures, and I am looking forward to hearing about those							
9	new safety measures from the witnesses today.							
10	I want to thank the chair once again for holding this							
11	hearing, and I look forward to the discussion.							
12	Senator Ernst: Thank you.							
13	So we will go ahead with our witnesses' opening							
14	statements, and we will start with you, Mr. Reid.							
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

7

STATEMENT OF GARRY REID, DIRECTOR FOR DEFENSE
 INTELLIGENCE, COUNTERINTELLIGENCE, LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND
 SECURITY, OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR
 INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY

5 Mr. Reid: Thank you, Chairman Ernst and Ranking Member 6 Peters, Senator Scott, other members that may be joining us. 7 We appreciate the opportunity to testify today and address 8 your questions regarding our review of international 9 military student screening and vetting procedures.

10 The tragic loss of life that occurred at Pensacola Naval Air Station on December 6th, 2019 will never fade from 11 12 our memories. Three young and vibrant U.S. Navy sailors, 13 Ensign Kaleb Watson, Airman Cameron Walters, and Airman Mo 14 Haitham, were tragically taken from us, their families, and 15 their loved ones, paying the ultimate sacrifice to save 16 others by heroically confronting their attacker. Three of 17 the eight wounded were first responders from the Naval 18 Security Forces and the Escambia County Sheriff's Office. 19 Their brave actions to get control of the situation within 20 15 minutes of the initial gunfire saved many more from the heavily armed shooter. We are forever indebted to our 21 22 fallen comrades and those that took swift action to protect 23 others from what was later determined by the U.S. Department 24 of Justice as an act of terrorism.

25 We greatly appreciate the outstanding work of our

federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies. It was
 the great partnership between Naval Security Forces
 Pensacola and the Escambia County Sheriff's Office that
 enabled such a swift and effective response to this attack,
 saving countless lives.

6 In response to the attack, the Department of Defense 7 immediately implemented a safety and security stand-down. 8 The Secretary of Defense directed my office to take immediate steps in two areas: one, to strengthen the 9 10 vetting process for international military students immediately; and two, to conduct a comprehensive review of 11 12 the policies and procedures in place for screening foreign 13 students and granting them access to our bases. I am here 14 today to brief you on the results of this work and, as you 15 already mentioned, Madam Chair, to follow up in a closed 16 session to talk about some of the national security details.

17 With regard to first task, we screened all current 18 Saudi Arabian military students immediately using new 19 procedures that we had recently put in place as part of our 20 personnel vetting transformation initiative which, as you 21 have been previously briefed, we are building towards a 22 continuous vetting process that relies on automated data 23 record searches as a supplement to the investigative 24 process. We put this process into place for the 25 international military students, and it stays in place

www.AldersonReporting.com

today. We screened all of the Saudi students, and we are
 continuing to work through the full population of roughly
 5,000 current IMS.

These automated searches look at intelligence community-derived data sets that include government data, commercial data, and publicly available data. The results of these checks are analyzed by trained security experts and analysts and used as a basis for determination if further investigative action could be required.

In this case, the review produced only a small number 10 of returns that required additional analysis within the 11 12 Department of Defense, but none that triggered any remedial 13 action or further investigation by federal authorities 14 relative to the current population. It should be noted, 15 however, that the perpetrator of the attack and several of 16 those associated with the perpetrator were not subjected to 17 this review because they were already subjects to the 18 ongoing FBI investigation and they were examined more 19 thoroughly through that process. And as you may have been 20 briefed, that resulted ultimately in the removal of 21 Saudi 21 Arabian military officers from training in the U.S. for 22 misconduct, however, not related to the December 6th attack. 23 Moving on to the policy review, we found that the 24 Department of Defense has been overly reliant on the vetting 25 conducted by the Department of State as part of their

1-800-FOR-DEPO

www.AldersonReporting.com

assessment of eligibility for the visa and that there is
 insufficient information sharing in place between DOD and
 the Department of State in that process.

4 We also found that DOD programs meant to detect and 5 mitigate events such as the Pensacola attack did not cover 6 international military students, for instance, our insider 7 threat programs. We learned that policies for international 8 military student possession of firearms varied at the 9 installation level and that at the federal level, there are 10 ways to bypass firearms restrictions for non-immigrant visa 11 holders.

12 We are well underway to implement the six 13 recommendations derived from 21 findings contained in the 14 report. Additional screening and vetting measures are 15 already in effect for all current and future international 16 military students. The Secretary has issued new policies 17 related to access credentials and the possession of 18 privately owned firearms and ammunition for our 19 international military students. We will build on this with 20 additional changes that reach across the entire student 21 populations and foreign affiliate landscape within the 22 Department of Defense.

To implement these recommendations, I have established a vetting and security review improvement integration group, co-chaired with General Hooper's office and the Defense

Security Cooperation Agency. We have four subordinate
 working groups going through each of these recommendations
 and findings in detail to implement the full set of
 proposals and ideas. We will be happy to provide you these
 details in the closed session.

6 In closing, it is important to note that this work is 7 not singularly focused on the tragic events that occurred at 8 Pensacola. Protecting our personnel and our military bases 9 is a top priority for Secretary Esper. Across the Department, we are actively reinforcing our insider threat 10 programs, improving base security, and strengthening our 11 12 counterintelligence posture. Within the Federal Government, 13 we are in the midst of the most significant reform of the 14 background investigation process in decades, adopting new 15 technologies and improving our awareness of personnel 16 security threats.

17 We appreciate all the congressional support we have 18 received over the past several years to provide us the 19 resources and authorities for the full range of DOD security, counterintelligence, law enforcement, and insider 20 21 threat programs. It is this ongoing work that enabled us to 22 quickly adapt the international military student vetting 23 process. We will continue to modernize this enterprise for 24 all trusted personnel that live, work, and do business on 25 Department of Defense installations around the world.

www.AldersonReporting.com

1	Thank you again for your interest in these matters, and
2	I look forward to your questions.
3	[The prepared statement of Mr. Reid follows:]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Sen	ator	Ernst:	Great.	Thank	you	very	much,	Mr.	Reid.
2	Gen	eral	Hooper,	thank y	you.					
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

1 STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL CHARLES W. HOOPER,

2 USA, DIRECTOR, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

General Hooper: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, Ranking
 Member Peters, for convening this hearing today. And I
 acknowledge the presence of Senator Scott, ladies, and
 gentlemen.

7 The training and education of foreign military 8 personnel in the United States is one of our most effective 9 tools to strengthen alliances and attract new partners. As 10 a foreign area officer and a career security cooperator, I have worked alongside many foreign military leaders whose 11 12 training in the United States established an appreciation 13 for American culture and values and has shaped longstanding 14 cooperation and partnership with the United States.

While the value of these types of military training and education programs cannot be overstated, I want to be absolutely clear that nothing is more important than safeguarding American lives. The incident in Pensacola was tragic, and my heart remains with the families of those we lost and with the people of Pensacola for the impact this event has had on their community.

My colleague and I today will be sharing new procedures the U.S. Government has put into place to reduce risk and improve the training environment so that all U.S. foreign military civilian personnel and their families remain safe

and have the opportunity to continue benefiting from our
 foreign military training programs.

The training and education of foreign military 3 4 personnel alongside U.S. forces and specifically in the 5 United States is one of our most effective security 6 cooperation tools. What makes the U.S. approach to security 7 cooperation different from that of our strategic competitors 8 is that the basis of our approach is not the sale of goods 9 and services but the enduring relationship that comes along 10 with it. At the heart of any defense relationship is a human relationship that is built and fostered through 11 12 opportunities for U.S. and foreign military students to 13 train alongside one another. When international military 14 students attend training and education in the United States, 15 they are exposed to our values, our culture, and our people. 16 These experiences serve as the building blocks for our long-17 term strategic and defense relationships.

In addition to building lasting relationships, these training programs build the capacity of our allies and partners to provide for their own defense and contribute to shared security challenges. Education and training in the United States is foundational to building an enduring interoperability with our partners and allies.

Since the year 2000, over 1 million international
military students have been trained in the United States.

We have trained more than 28,000 Saudi students over the life of our security cooperation relationship. It is worth noting that close to 4,000 heads of state, ministers of defense, chiefs of defense, and other general officers received training by the United States. This delivers a lasting strategic return on our security cooperation investments.

8 Recently, our own Secretary of Defense discussed his 9 personal experience training alongside foreign partners. He attended West Point with students from other countries, 10 trained at the Hellenic Military Academy, and trained 11 12 alongside an officer from the African continent while he was 13 on active duty. These experiences have shaped his strong 14 support for foreign military training and education programs 15 and informed the Department's response to the incident in 16 Pensacola.

17 International military students can receive training 18 and education in the United States under a variety of 19 programs. The Department of Defense and the Department of 20 State both have authorities and appropriations to fund 21 military training in the United States. Most of this 22 training occurs at Department of Defense facilities and 23 schools.

The Department of Defense provides and funds
international military training and education under a

variety of DOD programs such as section 333 Global Train and
Equip, the Counter-ISIL Train and Equip Fund, the Afghan
Security Forces Fund, the Regional Centers for Security
Studies, and the Regional Defense Combating Terrorism and
Irregular Warfare Fellowship Program, which we now refer to
as the Regional Defense Fellowship Program.

7 The Department of State has three main programs to fund 8 U.S. training of foreign militaries for which the Department 9 of Defense is the main implementer: the International 10 Military Education and Training, or IMET, program; Foreign 11 Military Financing; and the Peacekeeping Operations account, 12 which includes the Global Peace Operations Initiative.

Department of State funding, via the IMET program, is focused on the professionalization of partner nation military forces. My emphasizing professional military education at every level of an individual's career, we seek to develop professional leaders with whom the United States can work and foster enduring relationships that enable collaboration over time.

The Department of State uses Foreign Military Financing to fund training, which typically focuses on tactical or operational subjects and is directly related to a procurement made through other programs.

In addition, State funds training through thePeacekeeping Operations account, which is almost exclusively

conducted in partner nations and is primarily for
 peacekeeping, counterterrorism, maritime security, and
 military professionalization purposes in select countries.

4 The programs I have discussed thus far are programs 5 that rely primarily on U.S. grant assistance. However, many 6 of our allies and partners use their own money to come to 7 the United States for DOD-provided training associated with 8 procurements of defense articles and services under Foreign 9 Military Sales. DSCA is responsible for ensuring transfers of defense articles and services, to include the necessary 10 training and education to ensure the effective operation and 11 12 sustainment of these systems.

13 DSCA's role in supporting foreign military training executed pursuant to these authorities is to implement and 14 15 administer these programs by providing policy guidance and 16 support to the U.S. Government stakeholders who are part of the enterprise. These stakeholders include the geographical 17 18 combatant commands and the security cooperation offices at 19 embassies, the State Department's Political Military Affairs 20 Bureau, and the military departments whose schoolhouses run 21 a majority of the training and education programs. DSCA's 22 support ranges from annual security cooperation planning 23 conferences to issuing and maintaining DOD-wide guidance for 24 the execution of its security assistance and security 25 cooperation programs.

www.AldersonReporting.com

1 For example, while DSCA is not directly involved in the 2 screening or vetting of international military students, the agency issues policies and procedural guidance that requires 3 4 international military students to receive security and 5 medical screening in their home countries. However, due to 6 this unique individual nature of our bilateral 7 relationships, each U.S. ambassador determines the local 8 security screening processes for their individual posts. 9 Meanwhile, any student who comes to the United States under 10 one of these programs is also screened and vetted to determine their eligibility for a U.S. visa. 11 The visa 12 application process includes screening against biographic 13 and biometric databases, an interagency counterterrorism 14 check, and at 37 posts worldwide, including those in Saudi 15 Arabia, screening by an Immigration and Customs 16 Enforcement/Homeland Security Investigations Visa Security 17 Unit.

DSCA, the Department of State, and the Offices of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Policy, the military departments, and our foreign partners are all critical to ensuring the success of our foreign military training programs, and we have been working hand in hand to update processes and policy guidance in response to the events in Pensacola.

25 In closing, I want to reiterate how invaluable foreign

military training programs are to advancing our national security objectives. International military students are here as student visitors to learn skills and professions but also to learn about our people, our culture, and our values. And this cannot be overstated. The human relationships forged between our respective military members promote longб term defense and strategic relationships, increase our interoperability, and enable partners to contribute to our shared security objectives over the long term. Thank you very much. [The prepared statement of General Hooper follows:]

1

Senator Ernst: Thank you very much.

We will go ahead and start with our rounds of questions, and we will get as many questions in as we can prior to breaking for the vote. So I will go ahead and start with a couple of questions about acquisition of weapons in the United States.

And Mr. Reid and General Hooper, thanks again for being
here today to testify. This is an important topic.

9 I would like to begin by talking about the ability of 10 foreign international military students to acquire weapons 11 in the United States. According to the FBI, the Pensacola 12 shooter used a hunting license to legally purchase a 9 13 millimeter Glock 45 pistol. Mr. Reid, can you discuss the 14 ways in which foreign nationals, foreign students can obtain 15 a weapon either on or off a military base?

16 Mr. Reid: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair.

17 So as we mentioned, we had variances in our policies 18 that did not specifically proscribe international military 19 students from obtaining, purchasing, and handling firearms 20 while they were enrolled in the training. And Secretary Esper has issued new guidance that makes that a clear 21 22 prohibition and is a condition of them accepting the 23 opportunity to train in the United States going forward that 24 they will sign this acknowledgement statement that they are 25 no longer -- regardless of any State, local, and federal

laws, that they are proscribed by the Secretary as a sponsor
 of that training. So we have put that in place.

With regard to the shooter in Pensacola, as you 3 4 noted -- and you have likely seen the same things I have 5 seen coming out of the FBI and ATF where in most States in б this country, if you have a valid hunting license, there is 7 no further requirement for any documentation, which seems to 8 go against other statutes that proscribe non-immigrant visa 9 holders from doing that. I am not an expert on either one 10 of these areas, but we understand that to be the case.

We are working with ATF right now. I believe from our department, we intend to put forward changes to legislation to close down what looks like a loophole there. I understand that ATF is looking at it very similarly.

15 All of this, of course, we are talking about the legal 16 purchase, but if you know a little bit about the firearms in 17 general, purchasing from an individual is different from a 18 firearms dealer, and many of these restrictions that we just 19 talked about -- they vary when it is just individual-to-20 individual sales. And then that does not even get into the 21 non-legal acquisition of firearms. So there are clearly 22 many ways in this country to obtain a firearm.

What we have taken action on from the Secretary is to make it very clear to all of our military partners that any use of firearms while they are here in training -- first of

1 all, they are proscribed from the purchasing, as we 2 mentioned. We acknowledge, however, that there are many traditions on many of our bases for skeet shooting and other 3 4 firearms-related sport activities. The Secretary has 5 granted the installation commanders the discretion to 6 approve those actions, should they comport with and be 7 consistent with the training environment and should there be 8 no other reason for the commander to disapprove that. We 9 put that down in their hands to do that different from the 10 acquisition of the weapon that we already talked about.

11 So we have not completely prohibited any of them from 12 ever handling a firearm. We have received concerns from 13 many members and partner nations about some of these sport 14 activities, and we are open-minded about how those 15 possibilities may work in the future, but they will be at 16 the discretion of the local commander and with that 17 awareness on a limited specifically approved basis.

18 Senator Ernst: Yes. Certainly I do not believe that 19 through school activities or those sporting activities, most 20 of the training may require different weapons to be handled, 21 but certainly that would be different than the acquisition 22 of a weapon.

My time is expiring. I would like to move on to Ranking Member Peters for questions, and then we will go on to the other members of the subcommittee.

1

Senator Peters: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Mr. Reid, I just want to kind of drill down a little bit more on your answer on dealing with firearms as to how that is actually going to be enforced. Now, you do testify that it is very easy to get a firearm in the United States right now. And even when you have this prohibition, how are you going to be working with State and local authorities to actually enforce it was my first question.

9 The second part is that even legal purchases -- you 10 mentioned the person-to-person, but under our background 11 check laws, I think roughly 40 percent of weapons are sold 12 without any kind of background check if you do it online or 13 if you go to gun shows. So how are you going to deal with 14 those challenges?

15 Mr. Reid: Thank you, Senator Peters.

So we are coming at it from a couple of angles, again working with ATF and working within the enterprise that forms the National Instant Crime Check System, there are pathways for us to create alerting functions within there for certain populations. We are exploring that with ATF. But as you already mentioned, it is not going to stop everything.

Within our own department, we have put in place additional programs and procedures going down at the installation level with our insider threat programs,

training and educating the full student population on things that would be indicators of nefarious or undesirable behaviors, including such as off-book firearms activities. So we put some filters in place so our entire student body in our cadre are more attuned to recognizing where there may be indicators of this behavior.

7 We are also -- General Hooper is probably better to 8 describe -- putting in additional measures with the host 9 nation governments, particularly the Saudi Government, on 10 training controls and supervision of the training population 11 that will give us an additional layer of observation. So we 12 are tackling it in that way and through the technical side 13 working within the legal framework.

14 Senator Peters: Let me pick up on that. Has Saudi 15 Arabia made any commitments with respect to vetting their 16 personnel before they actually are sent to the United 17 States? If I heard your testimony correct, this individual 18 was posting things on social media prior to coming to the 19 United States. Is that accurate?

20 Mr. Reid: I will go to the first part, and Senator 21 Ernst mentioned it I believe. Through the investigation, 22 the FBI uncovered that the attacker had posted in the months 23 -- a few month time period preceding the attack some 24 jihadist type rhetoric. I am not aware of any posting by 25 this person before they came here.

1-800-FOR-DEPO

1

Do you want to address the government?

2 General Hooper: Sir, as to the first part of your question, the answer to your question is yes. The Saudis 3 4 have agreed to do a number of things differently, and they 5 have been very willing to work with the United States on 6 improving their own internal vetting processes. This includes increased psychological and behavioral testing 7 8 prior to nomination to uncover potential ideological, 9 social, or family issues or anxieties. They are also providing their nominations to us ahead of time with greater 10 lead time to allow our own U.S. vetting sufficient time to 11 12 operate.

Finally, we will be asking the Saudis to consider the individual's personal opinions or attitudes towards the U.S. Government, U.S. officials, U.S. policies, and Western culture and respect for persons of different race, gender, religion, national origin, or sexual orientation when screening them for training in the United States.

Senator Peters: You mentioned that right now the focus is screening all current Saudi personnel in the United States and that eventually it will go to the entire population. How are you prioritizing that?

Mr. Reid: Senator, we are about two-thirds of the way through the 5,000, roughly, population currently in the country. We are prioritizing on the basis of our terrorism high threat categorization that comes out of the Director
 for National Intelligence of our high threat terrorism
 locations, correlating that with the student populations,
 and working that as a priority scheme.

Senator Peters: And then you will engage in continuous
monitoring. Walk me through how you plan to continuously
monitor these 5,000 individuals.

8 Mr. Reid: From the technical point of view, the 9 database search functions that I have already described --10 we have the ability to query and to set alerts within those 11 data sets, much like we do for our own continuous vetting 12 population for our national security populations.

13 We are additionally pursuing and working through a pilot right now on a social media monitoring and alerting 14 15 function through commercial vendors. We have had a lot of 16 people offer that to us, and I will tell you, frankly, when 17 we put it to the test and tried to do it on scale, you are 18 sometimes dissatisfied with the fidelity of the results. 19 And of course, anytime we are talking about social media, 20 you have a host of issues about identity resolution and matching that to a person and the credibility of the 21 22 information. But we are pursuing that at the direction of 23 the Secretary to be more proactively monitoring on the social media front. 24

25

Some of the databases we are already monitoring derive

from some social media sources, but it is not comprehensive.
 So we are pursuing that.

And then the third means of monitoring is a nontechnical means that I already described, Senator, which would be through our insider threat awareness programs that exist at the installation.

7 Senator Peters: Thank you.

8 Senator Ernst: Senator Scott?

9 Senator Scott: Thank you, Senator Ernst, for holding10 this hearing.

11 And thank each of you for being here.

12 So this happened in my home State. One thing that has 13 surprised me is why do people not call this terrorism. Ιt 14 is like nobody wants to use the word "terrorism," and 15 clearly, this was somebody that post jihadist information 16 and clearly was anti-America. But people want to talk about 17 this being an incident or something like that. I do not 18 know how anybody could think it was not terrorism. Why is 19 there a reluctance to call this terrorism?

20 Mr. Reid: I will speak for myself, Senator, but also 21 for the Department. We fully recognize the conclusions of 22 the Attorney General that this was an act of terrorism. I 23 know within the Department of the Navy and the honors and 24 recognitions they bestowed upon the three fallen sailors 25 recognize that they fell at the hands of a terrorist attack.

www.AldersonReporting.com

I have not experienced any pushback on our side, and if I referred to it differently, it was in no way to downplay the fact that it was -- I spent 28 years in special operations fighting terrorism. So I am very sensitive to that. Thank you.

6 Senator Scott: General, does the Department feel like if you call it terrorism, it hurts what we are trying to do? 7 8 General Hooper: Sir, I concur with my colleague that 9 it has been unequivocally identified as an act of terrorism. 10 Senator Scott: So what everybody talks about is how having the training here helps build long-term relationships 11 12 and all that. And it sounds really good. My concern, 13 though, is when you talk to people that have been part of 14 this -- there are a lot of countries that participate -- and 15 they will say that there are countries where, yes, we have 16 long-term relationships after the fact because we train 17 together. But I have not to date found one person that has 18 said they had a long-term relationship with Saudi nationals 19 that they trained with. And I think all of us know the 20 importance of our relationship with Saudi Arabia. It is an 21 ally. It is clearly somebody that we rely on to work with 22 in the Middle East.

Should we look at countries differently? Because if you listen to your testimony, especially yours, General, you talk a lot about the importance of this relationship. And I

hear that, but I never hear that about Saudi Arabia. So are there countries that we ought to say that maybe we should not be doing this or there is something different that we ought to be doing, we should do more of it in their home country, things like that?

6 General Hooper: Sir, in answer to your question, the 7 defense attache from Saudi Arabia and I have had multiple 8 discussions about this perception of Saudi students in 9 Pensacola and at other training installations. He has 10 expressed his concern over this, and he has come to us with 11 solutions on how the Saudis intend to address this. And 12 then I will discuss how we intend to address this issue.

Among the steps that the Saudis have committed to are increased cultural awareness training before their students begin training in the United States, to increase their security, religious, ideological awareness, and U.S. personnel will deliver part of this training.

18 Second, we will be distributing a code of conduct, and 19 they will be distributing a code of conduct detailing what 20 the kingdom leadership expects of their students while in 21 the United States.

Third, there will be increased supervision of Saudi students by both local liaison officers and more personal engagement and oversight by the Saudi defense attache who, as you know, is the senior Saudi defense official in the

www.AldersonReporting.com

United States. We are working with the Saudis to ensure that their liaison officers are sufficiently senior in rank one ensure their effectiveness and authority in this role, and I can tell you personally that, in consultation with Navy, I have conveyed to the Saudi leadership that we will not accept anything less than a colonel at Pensacola, a Saudi colonel to be the liaison officer at Pensacola.

8 In addition, the Department of Defense, in 9 collaboration with the Department of State, has reviewed 10 existing standards of conduct for international military 11 students and is transmitting to Saudi Arabia a conduct 12 expectations document to further inform their vetting.

Beyond just these dos and don'ts, this explanation of the Department of Defense expectations emphasizes the importance of attitudes, to your point, sir, towards the United States and respect for persons of all backgrounds.

In addition, the Department is reviewing our international military student sponsorship program to determine how to increase Saudi participation. These programs will further promote cultural awareness and strengthen relationships among Saudi, U.S., and other international military students.

23 So these are unique steps that we are taking with 24 respect to this perception that you have articulated about 25 Saudi students.

Senator Scott: I know my time is up. We will have other time later. Senator Ernst: Yes. We will have additional time. The vote has been called. So this will be a natural breaking point. So we will go ahead and transition. Senator Scott and I will go vote, and Senator Peters has already moved that direction. So we will reconvene as soon as I return, and we will start on the closed portion of the hearing. Thank you. [Whereupon, at 10:42 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]